

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

CORAM: Shri Juino De Souza: State Information Commissioner

Appeal No. 57/2019/SIC-II

Shri Parshuram Sonurlekar,
Goa First, H. No. 188 C,
Near Jetty Bus Stop No. 2,
P.O. Mormugao Harbour,
Mormugao, Goa. 403803.

..... Appellant

v/s

Public Information Officer,
Headmaster,
Jadeed English High School,
Indira Nagar, Chimbhel – Goa 403006.

..... Respondents

Relevant emerging dates:

Date of Hearing : 16-07-2019

Date of Decision : 16-07-2019

ORDER

1. Brief facts of the case are that the Appellant had filed a Appeal case before this Commission registered on 06/03/2019 being aggrieved that despite the Order of First Appellate Authority (FAA) dated 12/12/2018 the PIO has not furnished information. The Appellant has therefore approached the Commission by way of Second Appeal.
2. **HEARING:** During the hearing the Appellant Shri. Parshuram Sonurlekar is present in person. The Respondent PIO, Fayyazamad Mulla is present along with his Adv. Avinash V. Nasnodkar.
3. The Appellant submits that he has taken inspection of the file on 17/06/2019 pursuant to the oral instruction given by the Commission, however the PIO refused to allow him to take notes and also confiscated his mobile phone.
4. Adv. Avinash P. Nasnodkar for the Respondent PIO at the outset submits that the Appellant has registered the Appeal case without an Appeal memo. It is also submitted that the RTI application filed by the Appellant is in the capacity of president of Goa First and this body is not an individual citizen of India. ...2

5. It is also submitted that the Appellant is in the habit of filling repeated RTI applications one after another mainly to harass the PIO with ulterior motive and which is utter abuse of the RTI Act. It is finally submitted that in the present Appeal case the Appellant is addressing issues of three RTI applications in one single Appeal and which itself is not maintainable as each RTI application should be pursued separately to its logical conclusion and not combined together.
6. At this Juncture the Appellant submits that he is withdrawing the Appeal case with liberty to file fresh Appeal and accordingly makes an endorsement stating "I the Appellant hereby withdraw the Appeal case unconditionally"
7. **DECISION:** Since the Appellant has withdrawn the Appeal case the same stands "**Dismissed as Withdrawn**". It is open to the Appellant to file a fresh RTI application seeking specific information with the concerned PIO, if he so desires.

All proceedings in Appeal case stands closed. Pronounced before the parties who are present at the conclusion of the hearing. Notify the parties concerned. Authenticated copies of the order be given free of cost.

Sd/-
(Juino De Souza)
State Information Commissioner